

## Table of Contents

---

Coca Frias, Enrique - #5769 - Diagnostic Divergence Based on Z-Score Models in the Evaluation of Patients with Connective Tissue Disorders . . . . .	1
Abstract submission for Institutional Repository . . . . .	1



# Research Week 2024

## Diagnostic Divergence Based on Z-Score Models in the Evaluation of Patients with Connective Tissue Disorders

Enrique Coca Frias, Erin Madriago, Sheila Markwardt, Jennifer H. Huang, Julia Parker, Kathryn W. Holmes

Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR.

### Keywords

Aortopathy, bicuspid aortic valve (BAV), connective tissue disorders, echocardiography, z-score

### Abstract

#### Background

Z-score models in pediatric echocardiographic studies are pivotal in medical decision making in patients with concern for aortopathies. An aortic root Z score  $> 2$  may prompt genetic testing or a clinical diagnosis of Marfan if  $Z > 3$ . This study sought to determine if there was a significant difference between Boston (BTN) and Pediatric Heart Network (PHN) allometric Z-score models in patients referred for aortopathy with or without connective tissue disorders (CTD).

#### Methods

We conducted a retrospective analysis of 397 patients for possible aortopathy with or without connective tissue disorders. Study dates: 1/2019-12/2022. Patient sex, age, aortic root (ART), aortic annulus diameter, ascending aortic (AAO) diameter were measured and evaluated with both PHN and BTN Z-score models. Differences between Z-score models were analyzed for each aortic segment in the sample populations.  $Z \leq 2$  was considered normal.

#### Results

ART Z-scores had a high degree of correlation between the two models (Pearsons 0.999 (0.999,0.999), Lin's 0.996 (0.962, 0.971)); however, the models diverged at higher Z-scores. Median PHN Z-scores were larger than Boston Z-scores for each aortic segment in both populations but most notable in CTD patients where ART Boston  $Z = 0.29$  (-0.44, 1.39) versus PHN  $Z = 0.52$  (-0.34, 1.82); AAO Boston  $Z = 0.11$  (-0.46, 0.77) versus PHN  $Z = 0.49$  (0.42, 0.55). Using PHN, there were 21 additional cases of ART dilation and 2 additional cases of

AAO dilation. Further analysis of ART Z-score measurements in both patient sub-populations demonstrated exaggerated differences between PHN and Boston of + 0.59 Z-scores (0.37, 0.75) in patients with CTD compared to 0.18 (0.10, 0.35) in those without CTD.

## Conclusion

The use of PHN versus Boston Z-scores are highly congruent though PHN Z-scores may lead to an increased diagnosis of aortic dilation in patients being evaluated for connective tissue disease.